Morphology of coronal hole and solar wind prediction based on SDO/AIA data from May 2010 to December 2014

Darije Maričić¹, Dragan Roša¹ and Filip Šterc¹

¹Astronomical Observatory Zagreb, Opatička 22, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia (email: <u>dmaricic@zvjezdarnica.hr</u>, <u>drosa@zvjezdarnica.hr</u>)

Eleventh Workshop - Solar Influences on the Magnetosphere, Ionosphere and Atmosphere *Primorsko, Bulgaria, 3 - 7 June, 2019*

Introduction

- prepared list of solar wind disturbance (SWD) using the data from WIND and ACE satellites, recorded from May 2010 to December 2014, (the period when the data from SDO, SOHO and both STEREO satellites wes available);
- made a list of 219 SWD incorporated into an online catalogue for a general use; separeted solar wind signatures on: corotating interaction regions (CIRs), interplanetary coronal mass ejections (ICMEs) and complex signatures; we focused our attention on 152 CIRs (64% of all SW signatures) where stream interface (SI) was clearly recognisable;
- detailed analisys of *in situ* data (of the magnetic field *B*, flow speed *v*, proton dencity *Np* and thermal speed v_{th}) between the region in front and behind the SI;
- prepared list of CHs employing the data from SDO, measuring the longitude and latitude of CHs edges, calculated the dimensions of CHs
- as well as established correlation between SW parameters with CHs dimension and Dst index

The data set

For SWD analysis at L1 point we used the WIND Magnetic Field Investigation (MFI) and Solar Wind Experiment (SWE) data, 1 minute resolution, GSE coordinates, accompained with ACE data. http://wind.nasa.gov/mfi swe plot.php / http://www.srl.caltech.edu/ACE/ASC/level2/index.html

For the Recognition of the accompanied ICMEs we used data from *STEREO* satellites - *SECCHI-COR2* Outer Coronagraph and SECCHI-HI Heliospheric Imager <u>http://stereo-ssc.nascom.nasa.gov/browse/</u> and SOHO satellite - LASCO C2 / C3 Coronagraphs <u>http://lasco-www.nrl.navy.mil/daily_mpg/</u>

For additional informations of CH, we used the SDO Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) and Helioseismic Magnetic Imager (HMI) <u>http://sdo.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/</u>, two wavelength channels 19.3 and 21.1nm

Accompained by Hourly Equatorial Dst Values - WDC for Geomagnetism, Kyoto

http://wdc.kugi.kyoto-u.ac.jp/dstdir/index.html





DO/AIA 211 2011-02-28 15:45:02 UT

Solar wind disturbances - online catalog



- for period from <u>May 2010 to December 2014</u> recognized 219 solar wind disturbances online catalog for general use: <u>https://zvjezdarnica.hr/pdf/ListSWDs.pdf</u>
- The SW events were identified by adetailed inspection of the plasma and magnetic field structure Dumbović et al. (2012).
- separation of the disturbance in different types, regarding to its origin: ICMEs, HSSs and complex signatures removed the ICME signatures

SWD counting - online catalog

List of Solar Wind Disturbance since January 2008 to August 2014

Compiled by Darije Maričić⁽¹⁾, Filip Šterc⁽¹⁾ and Mile Karlica⁽²⁾,

(1) Zagreb Astronomical Observatory, Croatia

(2) Sapienza University of Rome, Italia,

No. (a)	Date year	e of distu (b) month	urbnce day	FD? (c)	DsT? (d)	MC? (e)	Type of the SWD (f)	onset B _{max} (g) (doy)	B _{max} (h) (nT)	onset v _{max} (i) (doy)	v _{max} (j) (kms ⁻¹)	onset Np _{max} (1) (doy)	Np _{max} (m) (cm ⁻³)	onset vth _{max} (n) (doy)	Vth _{max} (0) (kms ⁻¹)	onset Dst (p) (doy)	onset Dst _{min} (q) (doy)	Dst _{min} (x) (nT)
	2008																	
1	2008	1	4	Ν	Y	Ν	CIR	5.28	17.90	5.30	657.60	4.93	47.70	5.33	90.20	4.92	5.42	-17
2	2008	1	12	Ν	Y	Ν	CIR	12.54	7.82	12.95	556.40	12.50	17.50	12.94	68.60	12.46	12.75	-27
3	2008	1	24	Ν	Ν	Ν	CIR	25.03	9.22	25.10	542.40	24.94	11.90	25.14	30.00	24.92	25.04	-5
4	2008	1	31	Ν	Υ	Ν	CIR	31.69	14.56	31.79	597.30	31.62	36.20	31.76	78.00	31.38	32.04	-30
5	2008	2	9	Ν	Υ	Ν	CIR	41.28	17.13	42.44	743.80	41.23	24.10	41.53	93.90	41.13	41.33	-14
6	2008	2	27	Ν	Υ	Ν	CIR	58.70	10.15	58.70	772.60	58.68	29.70	61.18	91.90	58.58	58.83	-25
							compelx											
7	2008	3	7	Ν	Y	Ν	distuprance	69.24	16.95	69.91	676.30	68.48	34.10	69.30	97.80	68.17	69.25	-89
8	2008	4	3	Υ	Y	Ν	CIR	95.52	12.16	96.11	603.70	95.63	14.90	95.99	83.10	93.88	95.86	-33
9	2008	4	15	Ν	Υ	Ν	CIR	107.46	12.76	107.87	618.50	107.42	22.00	107.51	69.60	107.25	107.92	-33
10	2008	4	22	Ν	Υ	Ν	CIR	114.21	15.23	114.82	639.90	114.16	20.70	114.34	113.60	113.42	114.46	-40
11	2008	4	30	Ν	Y	Ν	CIR	121.75	10.16	121.98	515.00	121.73	28.90	121.79	69.70	121.67	121.88	-11
12	2008	5	19	Y	Υ	Ν	CIR	141.61	9.42	142.44	581.00	140.34	33.30	142.04	66.40	139.04	142.21	-29
13	2008	5	28	Ν	Υ	Ν	CIR	149.18	12.21	149.60	535.60	149.15	43.30	149.23	81.00	149.08	149.38	-11
14	2008	6	14	Y	Υ	Ν	CIR	166.84	17.85	167.34	663.70	166.65	42.10	166.89	129.90	166.29	167.21	-41
							compelx											
15	2008	6	24	Y	Y	Ν	distuprance	177.71	15.38	178.27	647.70	177.67	22.20	177.88	84.50	176.67	177.21	-29

https://zvjezdarnica.hr/pdf/ListSWDs.pdf

Recognition of ICME signature





Exclude back-side or lateral ICMEs

nber of ICMEs when compare COR2 ICME detections and VD solar wind disturbance list covered period from May 2010 to December 2014

List	Number of ICMEs				
ICME list	1594				
SWD list	219				
Beck side or lateral ICMEs	1131				
Candidates for Earth-directed ICMEs	462				
Confirmed Earth-impacted ICMEs with 67 <i>in situ</i> SW signatures	105				
Single Earth-impacted ICMEs	33				

e. Our method generated a list of 462 candidates for Earth-directed ICMEs, which reduced to 105 confirmed Earth-impacted ICMEs.

Kinematics of the ICMEs

- for 105 out of 462 ICME-s it was possible to connect with 67 corresponding solar wind disturbances
- from elogation-time, using HM approximation, we calculated the direction and arrival time of ICME at Earth distance. method published in Maricic et al. (2014)



Interaction or single ICME



the period (DOY = 44 - 52) from 13 to 21 February 2011

- From total 105 ICMEs 67 caused solar wind disturbance.

- <u>39 of them caused by single arriving</u> ICME (~17% of all analysed SW disturbances) and 28 by ICMEs interaction (~12% of all SW disturbances).



(13% of total ICME and ~2% whole SW, semple) Stealth ICME; example 26 Oct 2010

Direct or flank



from 39 single ICMEs signatures: 18 direct and 21 flank;



- for the analyzed period, from 219 SW disturbances 152 of them have been recognized as a HSSs

- 13 have complext structures – or interaction (6% of the SW semple) vs simple CIR 139 signatures (63% of the whole SW semple)



- for the analyzed period, from 219 SW disturbances 139 of them have been recognized as a CIR (69% of the whole SW disturbances semple)

List of CHs and CHs with no SWD



- from 156 CHs, 38 CH were without SWD signature (25% of whole CHs sample)



ICME and HSS interaction в B (nT) -Bx(nT) - By(nT) - Bz(nT)Bxyz REAL PARTY RELIE Phi v 300 V (km s-1) 200 100 100 Vth Vth (km N_n (cm⁻³) β SDO/AIA 211 2013-06-26 07:45:01 UT ß

DsT 40-

-80

- from 219 SWD, 5 disturbances have been recognized as a posible ICME -HSS interaction



a)

b)

400

350Theta 300 25**C**)

d)

Np

e) f)

Measured parameters of CHs



DO/AA 211 2013-05-29 23:31:25 UT

SDO/AIA 193 2013-05-29 23:15:31 UT



figure 1 form Rotter et al. (2015)

for the period from May 2010 to December 2014 - 156 CHs were recognized

measured in SDO/AIA 19.3 and 22.1 nm data:

- CH latidude average width 1.
- CH longitude 2.
- onset of the CH on central solar meridian 3.



Results – SW parameters



Results – SW parameters



Results – SW parameters



- out of 139 CIRs only 17 have different Np correlation (12% of the whole CIRs sample)

Results – SW vs CH parameters



Number of CHs when compare SDO/AIA detections and
WIND solar wind disturbance list covered period from May 2010 to December 2014

List	Number of CHs			
CH list	156			
SWD list	219			
number of CIRs signatures	152			
joined CH - SWD	118 (75% of whole CHs semple)			
CH withouth SWD	38 (25% of whole CHs semple)			
CIR interaction	5 (4 % of whole CHs semple)			

Results – SW vs CH parameters





The solar wind speed arrival time

For whole CHs semple	3.18 ± 0.5 days
only equator CHs	3.05 ± 0.5 days
only south CHs	3.35 ± 0.5 days
only nord CHs	3.44 ± 0.5 days

estimated values in Rotter *et al.* (2015) 4.02 ± 0.5 days

Dst - results



- the main decrease of Dst index occurs in region in front of SI;

while the main increase of Dst index occurs between forward wave or shock and SI
correlation of the Dst decrease (min - max) is higher for region 1 (between SI and reverse wave)



Conclusions

from the analysis of SW disturbances \Rightarrow we foud 219 solar wind disturbances from May 2010 to the December 2014 (4.5 years period).

removing ICMEs signatures \Rightarrow from elogation-time, using HM approximation, we calculated the direction and arrival time of ICME at Earth distance. 105 CMEs can be connected with 67 corresponding SW disturbance.

clasification of SW signatures ⇒ corotating interaction regions (CIRs) ~ 61 %, interplanetary coronal mass ejections (ICMEs) ~ 24 %, interactions and complex signatures ~ 15 %;

from analysis of the SW parameters \Rightarrow

- correlation coeff. of magnetic field *B*, proton thermal speed v_{th} and flow speed *V* between the region in front and behind the SI are: cc = 0.83, 0.78 and 0.6, respectively - correlation of proton density *Np* in front and behind the SI indicated two different families of CIRs with correlation coefficients cc = 0.83 and 0.96 - we found high correlation between CH angular width with flow speed and duration od SW disturbance (cc = 0.68 and 0.78 respectively)

in future ⇒ estimate and analyze coronal hole (in more then two wavelengths) as a place of origin of the CIRs, using the data from SDO Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) and Helioseismic Magnetic Imager (HMI)